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What is reinforcement learning?

“a way of programming agents by reward and punishment without needing to specify how the task is to be achieved.” [L. Kaelbling, M. Littman and A. Moore, 1996]
Example: Playing a video game

Rules of the game are unknown
Learn directly from interactive game-play
Pick actions on joystick, see pixels and scores

from David Silver’s RL course at UCL
The mouse is trained to press the lever by giving it food (positive reward) every time it presses the lever.
Reinforcement learning in behavioral psychology

More complex skills, such as maze navigation, can be learned from rewards.
Operant conditioning chamber: The pigeon is “programmed” to click on the color of an object, by rewarding it with food.

- When the subject correctly performs the behavior, the chamber mechanism delivers food or another reward.
- In some cases, the mechanism delivers a punishment for incorrect or missing responses.
Problems involving an agent interacting with an **environment**, which provides numeric **reward** signals

**Goal:** Learn how to take actions in order to maximize reward
Reinforcement Learning (RL)

http://www.ausy.tu-darmstadt.de/Research/Research
Examples of Reinforcement Learning (RL) Applications

- Fast robotic maneuvers
- Legged locomotion
- Video games
- 3D video games
- Power grids
- Cooling Systems: DeepMind’s RL Algorithms Reduce Google Data Centre Cooling Bill by 40%
- Automated Dialogue Systems (example: question-answering, Siri)
- Recommender Systems (example: online advertisements)
- Robotic manipulation

Basically, any complex dynamical system that is difficult to model analytically can be an application of RL.
In this lecture, we consider only **fully observable** systems, where the agent always knows the current state of the system.
**Markov Assumption:** The distribution of next states (at $t + 1$) depends only on the current state and the executed action (at $t$).
Example of decision-making problems: robot navigation

- **State**: position of the robot
- **Actions**: move east, move west, move north, move south.
Example

*Path planning*: a simple sequential decision-making problem
Example

Path planning: a simple sequential decision-making problem
Example

*Path planning*: a simple sequential decision-making problem
Example

Path planning: a simple sequential decision-making problem
Grid World: an example of a Markov Decision Process
Deterministic vs Stochastic Transitions

Deterministic Grid World

Stochastic Grid World

Diagram showing the difference between deterministic and stochastic transitions in a grid world environment.
Notations

❖ **S**: set of states (e.g. position and velocity of the robot)

❖ **A**: set of actions (e.g. force)

❖ **T**: stochastic transition function

\[ T(s, a, s') = Pr(s_{t+1} = s' | s_t = s, a_t = a) \]

❖ **R**: reward (or cost) function
Markov Decision Process (MDP)

Formally, an MDP is a tuple $\langle S, A, T, R \rangle$, where:

- $S$: is the space of state values.
- $A$: is the space of action values.
- $T$: is the transition matrix.
- $R$: is a reward function.

from http://artint.info
Example of a Markov Decision Process with three states and two actions

from Wikipedia
Markov Decision Process (MDP)

Example: Racing

- A robot car wants to travel far, quickly
- Three states: Cool, Warm, Overheated
- Two actions: Slow, Fast
- Going faster gets double reward
A frequent task of an autonomous mobile robot is to find optimal paths (or plans) for reaching goals while avoiding obstacles and minimizing the required time and the consumption of energy. To decide where to go, the robot should know only its current location, level of energy, and the location of the target. Figure 2.2 shows a simple navigation problem that can be represented as a Markov Decision Process. Of course, one can imagine more complicated tasks, such as tracking a mobile target, collecting samples, or navigating in a hostile environment using defective actuators. Most of these problems can be easily cast as MDPs.

Formally, an MDP is a tuple $\langle S, A, T, R \rangle$. We now describe each one of these components.

**State space $S$**: This thesis is intimately related to the notion of state. The definition of a state is recursive: A state is a representation of all the relevant information for predicting future states, in addition to all the information relevant for the related decision problem. In the example of figure 2.2, the state space $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9\}$ corresponds to the set of the robot's locations on the grid. The state space may be finite, countably infinite, or continuous. We will focus on models with a finite set of states. In our example, the states correspond to different positions on a discretized grid.
Markov Decision Process (MDP)

**States set $S$:**

- A state is a representation of all the relevant information for predicting future states, in addition to all the information relevant for the related task.

- A state describes the configuration of the system at a given moment.

- In the example of robot navigation, the state space $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9\}$ corresponds to the set of the robot’s locations on the grid.

- The state space may be finite, countably infinite, or continuous. We will focus on models with a finite set of states. In our example, the states correspond to different positions on a discretized grid.
Markov Decision Process (MDP)

**Actions set $\mathcal{A}$:**

- The states of the system are modified by the actions executed by an agent.
- The goal is to choose actions that will steer the system to the more desirable states.
- The actions space can be finite, infinite or continuous, but we will consider only the finite case.
- In our example, the actions of the robot might be move north, move south, move east, move west, or do not move, so $\mathcal{A} = \{N, S, E, W, \text{nothing}\}$. 

Markov Decision Process (MDP)

**Transition function** $T$:

- When an agent tries to execute an action in a given state, the action does not always lead to the same result, this is due to the fact that the information represented by the state is not sufficient for determining precisely the outcome of the actions.

  $T(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1})$ returns the probability of transitioning to state $s_{t+1}$ after executing action $a_t$ in state $s_t$.

  $$T(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) = P(s_{t+1} \mid s_t, a_t)$$

- In our example, the actions can be either deterministic, or stochastic if the floor is slippery, and the robot might ends up in a different position while trying to move toward another one.
The current state and action have all the information needed to predict the future.

Example:
If you observe the position, velocity and acceleration of a moving vehicle at a given moment, then you could predict its position and velocity in the next few seconds without knowing its past positions, velocities or accelerations.
State = position and velocity
Action = acceleration

Open illustration from engadget.com
The preferences of the agent are defined by the reward function $R$. This function directs the agent towards desirable states and keeps it away from unwanted ones. $R(s_t, a_t)$ returns a reward (or a penalty) to the agent for executing action $a_t$ in state $s_t$.

The goal of the agent is then to choose actions that maximize its cumulated reward.

The elegance of the MDP framework comes from the possibility of modeling complex concurrent tasks by simply assigning rewards to the states.

In our previous example, one may consider a reward of $+100$ for reaching the goal state, a $-2$ for any movement (consumption of energy), and a $-1$ for not doing anything (waste of time).
How to define the reward function $R$?

Examples (from David Silver’s RL course at UCL)

- Fly manoeuvres in a helicopter
  - positive reward for following desired trajectory
  - negative reward for crashing

- Defeat the world champion at Backgammon
  - positive reward for winning a game
  - negative reward for losing a game

- Manage an investment portfolio
  - positive reward for each dollar in bank

- Control a power station
  - positive reward for producing power
  - reward for exceeding safety thresholds

- Make a humanoid robot walk
  - positive reward for forward motion
  - negative reward for falling over

- Play many different Atari games better than humans
  - reward for increasing/decreasing score
Examples: Cart-pole (inverted pendulum)

**Objective**: Balance a pole on top of a movable cart

**State**: angle, angular speed, position, horizontal velocity

**Action**: horizontal force applied on the cart

**Reward**: 1 at each time step if the pole is upright
Objective: Make the robot move forward

State: Angle and position of the joints

Action: Torques applied on joints

Reward: 1 at each time step upright + forward movement

From OpenAI Gym (MuJoCo simulator) http://cs231n.stanford.edu/
Examples: Video Games

**Objective**: Complete the game with the highest score

**State**: Raw pixel inputs of the game state

**Action**: Game controls e.g. Left, Right, Up, Down

**Reward**: Score increase/decrease at each time step

Why so much interest on video games? Skills learned from games can be transferred to real-life (e.g, self-driving cars).

http://cs231n.stanford.edu/
Examples: Go game

**Objective**: Win the game!

**State**: Position of all pieces

**Action**: Where to put the next piece down

**Reward**: 1 if win at the end of the game, 0 otherwise
Horizons

Given a reward function, the goal of the agent is to maximize the expected cumulated reward over some number $H$ of steps, called the horizon.

$$(s_t, a_t, r_t), (s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, r_{t+1}), (s_{t+2}, a_{t+2}, r_{t+2}), \ldots, (s_{t+H-1}, a_{t+H-1}, r_{t+H-1})$$

The goal of the agent is to maximize the sum of rewards

$$r_t + r_{t+1} + r_{t+2} + r_{t+3} + \cdots + r_{t+H-1}.$$
The horizon $H$ can be either finite or infinite.

If the horizon is finite, then the optimal actions of the agent will depend not only on the states, but also on the remaining number of steps until the end.

Example

There is a $-1$ reward for moving and a $+100$ reward for reaching the goal. If only 2 steps are left before the end of the episode, then it would better to do nothing and receive a cumulated reward of 0, than to move and receive a cumulative reward of $-2$, since the goal cannot be reached in 2 steps anyway.
If the horizon is infinite ($H = \infty$), then the optimal actions depend only on the state. In our case, the optimal action at any step is to move toward the goal.

A *discount factor* $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is also used to indicate how the importance of the earned rewards decreases for every time-step delay. A reward that will be received $k$ time-steps later is scaled down by a factor of $\gamma^k$.

The discount factor can also be interpreted as the probability that the process continues after any step.

The goal of the agent is to maximize the sum of discounted rewards

$$r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \gamma^4 r_{t+4} + \gamma^5 r_{t+5} + \ldots.$$
The agent selects its actions according to a **policy** $\pi$ (a *strategy*).

A deterministic stationary policy $\pi$ is a function that maps every state $s$ into an action $a$.

$$\pi : \text{State} \to \text{Action}.$$ 

$$\pi(s) = a.$$
Examples of Policies

- Sub-Optimal Policy
- Random Policy
- Optimal Policy
Value Functions

The value function of a policy $\pi$ is a function $V^\pi$ that associates to each state the sum of expected rewards that the agent will receive if it starts executing policy $\pi$ from that state. In other terms:

$$V^\pi(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) | \pi, s_0 = s \right]$$

- Sum of discounted rewards that are expected to be received
- How good is policy $\pi$.

where $\pi(s_t)$ is the action chosen in state $s$. 
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The value function of a policy can also be defined as:

\[ V^\pi(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \]

\[ = \gamma^0 R(s, \pi(s)) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \]

\[ = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t'}} \left[ R(s_{t'}, \pi(s_{t'})) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \text{ with } t' = t-1 \]

\[ = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t'} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t'}} \left[ R(s_{t'}, \pi(s_{t'})) | \pi, s_0 = s' \right] \]
The value function of a policy can also be defined as:

\[ V^\pi(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \]

\[ = \gamma^0 R(s, \pi(s)) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \]

\[ = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t'-1} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t'}} \left[ R(s_{t'}, \pi(s_{t'})) | \pi, s_0 = s \right] \]

\[ = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t'} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t'}} \left[ R(s_{t'}, \pi(s_{t'})) | \pi, s_0 = s' \right] \]

\[ = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') V^\pi(s') \]
The value function of a policy can also be defined as:

\[
V^\pi(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \mid \pi, s_0 = s \right]
\]

\[
= \gamma^0 R(s, \pi(s)) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \mid \pi, s_0 = s \right]
\]

\[
= R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} \mathbb{E}_{s_t} \left[ R(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \mid \pi, s_0 = s \right]
\]

\[
= R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t'} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t'}} \left[ R(s_{t'}, \pi(s_{t'})) \mid \pi, s_0 = s' \right] \text{with } t' = t-1
\]

\[
= R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') V^\pi(s')
\]
Bellman Equation

\[ V^\pi(s) = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \text{States}} T(s, \pi(s), s') V^\pi(s') \]

value = immediate reward + \( \gamma \) (expected value of next state)

This equation plays a central role in **dynamic programming**, a family of methods for solving a complex problem by breaking it down into a collection of simpler subproblems.

In dynamic programming, invented by Richard Bellman in 1957, sub-problems are nested recursively inside larger problems.
**Optimal policies**

**Bellman Equation**

\[ V^\pi(s) = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in States} T(s, \pi(s), s') V^\pi(s') \]

- An optimal policy \( \pi^* \) is one that satisfies:

\[ \forall s \in S : \pi^* \in \arg \max_\pi V^\pi(s) \]

- The value function of an optimal policy is called the **optimal value function**, it is defined as:

\[ V^*(s) = \max_{a \in Actions} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in States} T(s, a, s') V^*(s') \right] \]
Optimal policies

- In his seminal work on dynamic programming, Richard Bellman proved that a stationary deterministic optimal policy exists for any discounted infinite horizon MDP.

- If the value function $V^\pi$ of a given policy $\pi$ satisfies

$$V^\pi(s) = \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^\pi(s') \right],$$

then $V^\pi = V^*$ and $\pi$ is an optimal policy.

- The equation above is a necessary and sufficient optimality condition.

In other terms, $\pi$ is optimal if and only if

$$\forall s, a : \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^\pi(s') \right] \leq V^\pi(s).$$
Planning: finding an optimal policy $\pi^*$ given an MDP $\langle S, A, T, R \rangle$.

Most of planning algorithms for MDPs fall in one of the two categories:

- Policy iteration
- Value iteration
Policy Iteration

- Start with a randomly chosen policy $\pi_t$ at $t = 0$
- Alternate between the **policy evaluation** and the **policy improvement** operations until convergence.

Figure from Sutton and Barto:
Policy Iteration

- Start with a randomly chosen policy $\pi_t$ at $t = 0$
- Alternate between the **policy evaluation** and the **policy improvement** operations until convergence.

Policy evaluation

- Randomly initialize the value function $V_k$, for $k = 0$.
- Repeat the operation:

$$\forall s \in States : V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s')V_k(s')$$

until $\forall s \in S : |V_k(s) - V_{k-1}(s)| < \epsilon$ for a predefined error threshold $\epsilon$. 
Policy Iteration

- Start with a randomly chosen policy $\pi_t$ at $t = 0$
- Alternate between the **policy evaluation** and the **policy improvement** operations until convergence.

Policy improvement

Find a *greedy* policy $\pi_{t+1}$ given the value function $V_k$ (computed in the policy evaluation phase):

$$\forall s \in S : \pi_{t+1}(s) \leftarrow \arg\max_{a \in Actions} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in States} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]$$

The policy iteration process stops when $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$, in which case $\pi_t$ is an optimal policy, i.e. $\pi_t = \pi^*$. 
Input: An MDP model $\langle S, A, T, R \rangle$;

/* Initialization */ ;
$t = 0$, $k = 0$;

$\forall s \in S$: Initialize $\pi_t(s)$ with an arbitrary action;
$\forall s \in S$: Initialize $V_k(s)$ with an arbitrary value;

repeat

    /* Policy evaluation */ ;
    repeat
        $\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')$;
        $k \leftarrow k + 1$;
    until $\forall s \in S : |V_k(s) - V_{k-1}(s)| < \epsilon$;

    /* Policy improvement */ ;

    $\forall s \in S : \pi_{t+1}(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]$;

    $t \leftarrow t + 1$;
until $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$;

$\pi^* = \pi_t$;

Output: An optimal policy $\pi^*$;
Example

- State space $\mathcal{S} = \{s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{21}, s_{22}, s_{23}, s_{31}, s_{32}, s_{33}\}$
- Action space $\mathcal{A} = \{\leftarrow, \rightarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow, \text{do nothing}\}$
- Deterministic transition function
- Reward function $\forall a : R(s_{33}, a) = 1, \forall a, \forall s \neq s_{33} : R(s, a) = 0$
- Discount factor $\gamma = 0.9$. 

Initial policy

Considérons $\gamma = 0.9$ et $V_0(\pi(s)) = 7$, pour tout $s$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Initial Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_{11}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{12}$</td>
<td>$\uparrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{13}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{21}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{22}$</td>
<td>$\uparrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{23}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{31}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{32}$</td>
<td>$\uparrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{33}$</td>
<td>$\downarrow$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let's perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[
\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>(V_0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(s_{11})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{12})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{13})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{21})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{22})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{23})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{31})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{32})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{33})</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial policy
Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[
V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>(V_0)</th>
<th>(V_1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(s_{11})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{12})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{13})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{21})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{22})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{23})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{31})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{32})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[ \forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s') \]
Let's perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy.

\[
\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>(V_0)</th>
<th>(V_1)</th>
<th>(V_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(s_{11})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{12})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{13})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{21})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{22})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{23})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{31})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{32})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0 + 0.9 \times 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{33})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1 + 0.9 \times 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[
\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')
\]
Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[ \forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s')V_k(s') \]
Example

Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[
\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s')
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>(V_0)</th>
<th>(V_1)</th>
<th>(V_2)</th>
<th>(V_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(s_{11})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{12})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{13})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{21})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{22})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{31})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{32})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{33})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial policy
Let’s perform the policy evaluation on the initial policy

\[ \forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) = R(s, \pi_t(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi_t(s), s') V_k(s') \]
Now, we improve the previous policy based on the calculated values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$V_0$</th>
<th>$V_1$</th>
<th>$V_2$</th>
<th>$V_3$</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>$V_{1000}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_{11}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{13}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{21}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{22}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{23}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{31}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{32}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{33}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\forall s \in S : \pi_{t+1}(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right].$

Repeat policy evaluation with the new policy $\pi_{t+1}$. Stop if $\pi_{t+1} = \pi_t$. 

Improved policy:

- $s_{11} \rightarrow s_{12} \rightarrow s_{13}$
- $s_{21} \rightarrow s_{22} \rightarrow s_{23}$
- $s_{31} \rightarrow s_{32} \rightarrow s_{33}$
Value Iteration

Value iteration can be written as a simple backup operation:

\[
\forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]
\]

This operation is repeated until \( \forall s \in S : |V_k(s) - V_{k-1}(s)| < \epsilon \), in which case the optimal policy is simply the greedy policy with respect to the value function \( V_k \):

\[
\forall s \in S : \pi^*(s) = \arg \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]
\]
Value Iteration

**Input:** An MDP model \( \langle S, A, T, R \rangle \);

\( k = 0; \)

\( \forall s \in S : \) Initialize \( V_k(s) \) with an arbitrary value;

**repeat**

\( \forall s \in S : V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]; \)

\( k \leftarrow k + 1; \)

**until** \( \forall s \in S : |V_k(s) - V_{k-1}(s)| < \epsilon; \)

\( \forall s \in S : \pi^*(s) = \arg \max_{a \in A} \left[ R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V_k(s') \right]; \)

**Output:** An optimal policy \( \pi^*; \)

**Algorithm 2:** The value iteration algorithm.
How can we find an optimal policy when we do not know the transition function $T$?

Reinforcement Learning (RL)

- Generally refers to the problem of finding an optimal policy $\pi^*$ for an MDP with unknown transition function $T$.
- The agent learns, the best actions from experience, by acting and observing the received rewards, i.e. by *trial-and-error*.
Model-based Approach to Reinforcement Learning

- Collect data: \( \text{Data} = \{(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}), \text{for } t = 0, \ldots, N\} \)
- Estimate the transition function as: for any states \( s \) and \( s' \), and action \( a \)

\[
T(s, a, s') = P(s' \ | \ s, a) \approx \frac{\text{Number of times } (s, a, s') \text{ appears in } \text{Data}}{\text{Number of times } (s, a, \text{anything}) \text{ appears in } \text{Data}}
\]

where the denominator is the total number of times that action \( a \) was executed in state \( s \) in the data, regardless of the next state.
- These estimates converge to the true model \( T \) if \( S \) and \( A \) are finite.
- Find an optimal policy using the Policy Iteration or the Value Iteration algorithms with the learned model \( T \).
Model-free Approach to Reinforcement Learning

Learn the policy directly from the rewards, without learning the transition function

- It is not necessary to learn a model
- More robust to modeling errors
- Much simpler than model-based approaches
- Typically requires more data for training
Before presenting some learning algorithms, we will first need to introduce the *Q-value function*. 

A *Q-value* is the expected sum of rewards that an agent will receive if it executes action $a$ in state $s$ then follows a policy $\pi$ for the remaining steps.

$$Q^\pi(s, a) = R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^\pi(s')$$

$a$ can be any action, it is not necessarily $\pi(s)$. 
Following a policy produces sample trajectories (or paths) \( s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, a_1, r_1, \ldots \)

**How good is a state?**

The **value function** at state \( s \), is the expected cumulative reward from following the policy from state \( s \):

\[
V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi \right]
\]

**How good is a state-action pair?**

The **Q-value function** at state \( s \) and action \( a \), is the expected cumulative reward from taking action \( a \) in state \( s \) and then following the policy:

\[
Q^\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi \right]
\]
The Q-learning algorithm

We’d like to do Q-value updates to each Q-state:

$$Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[ R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]$$

- But can’t compute this update without knowing T, R

Instead, compute average as we go

- Receive a sample transition (s,a,r,s’)
- This sample suggests
  
  $$Q(s, a) \approx r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$$

- But we want to average over results from (s,a) (Why?)
- So keep a running average
  
  $$Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + (\alpha) \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') \right]$$

**α** is just any number between 0 and 1 that is decreased over time.
**Input:** An MDP model $\langle S, A, R \rangle$ with unknown transition function; 
$t = 0$, $s_0$ is an initial state; 
$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$: Initialize $Q^t(s, a)$ with an arbitrary value;

**repeat**

$\pi(s_t) = \arg\max_{a \in A} Q^t(s_t, a)$;

Choose action $a_t$ as $\pi(s_t)$ with probability $1 - \epsilon_t$ (for exploitation), and as a random action (for exploration) with probability $\epsilon_t$;

Execute action $a_t$ and observe the received reward $R(s_t, a_t)$ and the next state $s_{t+1}$;

$$Q^{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = (1 - \alpha_t)Q^t(s_t, a_t) + \alpha_t \left[ R(s_t, a_t) + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q^t(s_{t+1}, a') \right]$$

$t \leftarrow t + 1$;

**until** the end of learning;

**Output:** A learned policy $\pi$;

**Algorithm 3:** The Q-learning algorithm.
Alternative Formulation of the Update Equation

\[ Q^{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = Q^t(s_t, a_t) + \alpha_t \left( R(s_t, a_t) + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q^t(s_{t+1}, a') - Q^t(s_t, a_t) \right) \]

New Value = Old Value + (learning rate) * (Observed Value - Predicted Value)
Convergence conditions of tabular Q-learning (discrete states and actions)

Robbins-Monro conditions for the learning rate

1. $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t^2 < \infty$ and
2. $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t = \infty$

In other terms

- Learning rate $\alpha_t$ decreases over time,
- but not too fast.

The exploration probability $\epsilon_t$ should be non-zero.

Example of good $\alpha_t$ and $\epsilon_t$

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \epsilon_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$$
Suppose

- The agent selects action *move left* in state $s_0$

![Diagram](image.png)
Suppose

- The agent selects action *move left* in state $s_0$
- The agent gets a reward $R(s_0, \text{move left}) = 10$ and moves to state $s_1$

![Diagram showing state transitions from $s_0$ to $s_1$.]
Suppose

- The agent selects action $move\ left$ in state $s_0$
- The agent gets a reward $R(s_0, \text{move left}) = 10$ and moves to state $s_1$
- The old Q-value of $(s_0, \text{move left})$ is $Q(s_0, \text{move left}) = 3$

**time-step 0:** $s_0$

**time-step 1:** $s_1$
Suppose

- The agent selects action *move left* in state $s_0$
- The agent gets a reward $R(s_0, \text{move left}) = 10$ and moves to state $s_1$
- The old Q-value of $(s_0, \text{move left})$ is $Q(s_0, \text{move left}) = 3$
- The max Q-value in state $s_1$ is $Q(s_1, \text{move up}) = 7$

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{time-step 0:} \\
\text{time-step 1:} \\
S_0 \\
S_1
\end{array} \]
Suppose

- The agent selects action \textit{move left} in state $s_0$
- The agent gets a reward $R(s_0, \text{move left}) = 10$ and moves to state $s_1$
- The old Q-value of $(s_0, \text{move left})$ is $Q(s_0, \text{move left}) = 3$
- The max Q-value in state $s_1$ is $Q(s_1, \text{move up}) = 7$
- The discount factor $\gamma = 0.95$
- The learning rate $\alpha = 0.1$

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{time-step 0:} $s_0$ \hspace{1cm} $s_0$ \hspace{1cm} $s_0$
\item \textbf{time-step 1:} $s_1$ \hspace{1cm} $s_1$ \hspace{1cm} $s_1$
\end{itemize}
Suppose

- The agent selects action *move left* in state $s_0$
- The agent gets a reward $R(s_0, \text{move left}) = 10$ and moves to state $s_1$
- The old Q-value of $(s_0, \text{move left})$ is $Q(s_0, \text{move left}) = 3$
- The max Q-value in state $s_1$ is $Q(s_1, \text{move up}) = 7$
- The discount factor $\gamma = 0.95$
- The learning rate $\alpha = 0.1$

Then the Q-value is updated as

$$Q(s_0, \text{move left}) \leftarrow Q(s_0, \text{move left}) + \alpha \left[ R(s_0, \text{move left}) + \gamma Q(s_1, \text{move up}) - Q(s_0, \text{move left}) \right]$$

$$Q(s_0, \text{move left}) \leftarrow 3 + 0.1 \times \left[ 10 + 0.95 \times 7 - 3 \right] = 4.365$$
Exploration vs. Exploitation

How to Explore?

Several schemes for forcing exploration

- Simplest: random actions (H-greedy)
  - Every time step, flip a coin
  - With (small) probability H, act randomly
  - With (large) probability 1-H, act on current policy

Problems with random actions?

- You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
- One solution: lower H over time
- Another solution: exploration functions

[Demo: Q-learning – manual exploration – bridge grid (L11D2)]
[Demo: Q-learning – epsilon-greedy -- crawler (L11D3)]

Exploration Functions

- When to explore?
  - Random actions: explore a fixed amount
  - Better idea: explore areas whose badness is not (yet) established, eventually stop exploring

- Exploration function
  - Takes a value estimate u and a visit count n, and returns an optimistic utility, e.g.
  - Note: this propagates the “bonus” back to states that lead to unknown states as well!

Modified Q-Update:

[Demo: exploration – Q-learning – crawler – exploration function (L11D4)]

Several schemes for forcing exploration

- Simplest: random actions ($\varepsilon$-greedy)
  - Every time step, flip a coin
  - With (small) probability $\varepsilon$, act randomly
  - With (large) probability $1-\varepsilon$, act on current policy

- Problems with random actions?
  - You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
  - One solution: lower $\varepsilon$ over time
  - Another solution: exploration functions

When to explore?

- Random actions: explore a fixed amount
- Better idea: explore areas whose badness is not (yet) established, eventually stop exploring

Exploration function

- Takes a value estimate $u$ and a visit count $n$, and returns an optimistic utility, e.g. $f(u, n) = u + k/n$

  \[
  \text{Regular Q-Update:} \quad Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \left( R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') \right)
  \]

  \[
  \text{Modified Q-Update:} \quad Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \left( R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(Q(s', a'), N(s', a')) \right)
  \]

- Note: this propagates the “bonus” back to states that lead to unknown states as well!
Basic Q-Learning keeps a table of all q-values

In realistic situations, we cannot possibly learn about every single state!

- Too many states to visit them all in training
- Too many states to hold the q-tables in memory

Instead, we want to generalize:

- Learn about some small number of training states from experience
- Generalize that experience to new, similar situations
- This is a fundamental idea in machine learning, and we’ll see it over and over again
Let’s say we discover through experience that this state is bad:

In naïve q-learning, we know nothing about this state:

Or even this one!

Solution: describe a state using a vector of features (properties)

- Features are functions from states to real numbers (often 0/1) that capture important properties of the state
- Example features:
  - Distance to closest ghost
  - Distance to closest dot
  - Number of ghosts
  - \( \frac{1}{\text{dist to dot}}^2 \)
  - Is Pacman in a tunnel? (0/1)
  - ...... etc.
  - Is it the exact state on this slide?
- Can also describe a q-state \((s, a)\) with features (e.g. action moves closer to food)

Using a feature representation, we can write a q function (or value function) for any state using a few weights:

$$V(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)$$

$$Q(s, a) = w_1 f_1(s, a) + w_2 f_2(s, a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s, a)$$

Advantage: our experience is summed up in a few powerful numbers

Disadvantage: states may share features but actually be very different in value!
If everything is summed up in weights $w$, how can we learn them?

$$Q(s, a) = w_1 f_1(s, a) + w_2 f_2(s, a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s, a)$$

Q-learning with linear Q-functions:

transition = $(s, a, r, s')$

$$Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha \text{[difference]}$$

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \text{[difference]} f_i(s, a)$$

Intuitive interpretation:

- Adjust weights of active features
- E.g., if something unexpectedly bad happens, blame the features that were on: disprefer all states with that state’s features

Formal justification: online least squares

Feature-Based Representations

- Solution: describe a state using a vector of features (properties)
- Features are functions from states to real numbers (often 0/1) that capture important properties of the state
- Example features:
  - Distance to closest ghost
  - Distance to closest dot
  - Number of ghosts
  - $1 / (\text{dist to dot})^2$
  - Is Pacman in a tunnel? (0/1)
  - … etc.

Linear Value Functions

- Using a feature representation, we can write a q function (or value function) for any state using a few weights:
- Advantage: our experience is summed up in a few powerful numbers
- Disadvantage: states may share features but actually be very different in value!

Approximate Q-Learning

- Q-learning with linear Q-functions:
- Intuitive interpretation:
  - Adjust weights of active features
  - E.g., if something unexpectedly bad happens, blame the features that were on: disprefer all states with that state's features
- Formal justification: online least squares

Exact Q's

Approximate Q's

Example: Q-Pacman

[Demo: approximate Q-learning pacman(L11D10)]

Where the learning rate $\alpha$ is set in this example to $\approx 1/250$
Deep Q Learning (DQN, Mnih et al., 2013)

Loss Function

$$\min_w \sum_{t=0}^{N} (R(s_t, a_t) + \gamma \max_{a' \in A} Q_w(s_{t+1}, a') - Q_w(s_t, a_t))^2$$

Temporal Difference (TD)
Dueling Network Architectures for Deep RL (Wang et al., 2015)

Loss Function

\[ \min_w \sum_{t=0}^{N} \left( R(s_t, a_t) + \gamma \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'_w(s_{t+1}, a') - Q_w(s_t, a_t) \right)^2 \]

Temporal Difference (TD)
Questions